

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_113267
Title of the Manuscript:	The influence of the clinical pharmacist in the management of depression
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>It s important since it touches on common problem encountered in many patients treatment</p> <p>The title it suitable though needs to include the place of study</p> <p>Abstract is not comprehensive, since key areas are missing e.g objective, sampling method, results.</p> <p>Section are appropriate though they need to check on methodology and discussion section as indicated in the marked document.</p> <p>Yes, its scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are okay.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, following the recommendations, detailed information on the methodology was included in the mini review, as well as separation of results and discussions, and inclusion of the study's limitations in the discussion.</p> <p>The summary addresses the objective and methodology used, as well as the results and conclusions of the mini review.</p> <p>About the title of this work, as it is a mini review, we can add the term review.</p> <p>Dear, thank you for your considerations.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	